

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/001,298	•	10/19/2001	Peter T. Barrett	14531.103	2485	
47973	7590	05/16/2006		EXAMINER		
*		EGGER/MICRO	CHANG, SHIRLEY			
1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SALT LAK	KE CITY,	UT 84111	2612			
				DATE MAILED: 05/16/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summans	10/001,298	BARRETT, PETER T.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Shirley Chang	2623				
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 M	larch 2006.					
		action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	, <del>-</del>						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.						
7) 🗌							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)□.	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •				
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		100000000000000000000000000000000000000				
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 25 LLC C C 440(a)	(4) (6)				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 O.S.C. § 119(a)-	(a) or (i).				
•	· ·	have been received					
	<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>						
		•					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment	(e)						
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (F	PTO 413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:							
raper	TOOLOGINATION DATE	6) [_] Otner:					

Art Unit: 2612

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Response to Arguments**

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1. Claim(s) 1-2, 12-13, 15-16, 18, 21-26 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Zigmond et al. (6698020).

As to claims 1 and 25 Zigmond discloses:

A method using a computer readable medium, the method comprising: In a video receiver that is coupled to a display device, the video receiver configured to locally receive a stream that includes a plurality of video segments (fig. 3,4, 5, 7, 8), a method of locally processing remotely issued instructions contained in the stream so that the video receiver can be used for targeting the plurality of video segments based on local

Art Unit: 2612

information accessible to the video receiver and based on the remotely issued instructions (fig. 5, 6; col. 10, lines 48-64), the method comprising the following: locally monitoring state and user behavior characteristics associated with the video receiver (col. 6, lines 1-11; col. 7, lines 26-36; col. 11, lines 30-49);

locally storing the characteristics only at the video receiver (viewer response information remains at ad insertion device; col. 9, lines 39-55);

locally receiving at the video receiver a plurality of video segment from the stream (fig. 3, 5, 7, 8);

locally receiving at the video receiver remotely issued executable instructions from the stream, the remotely issued executable instructions configured to cause the video receiver to select a particular video segment from among the plurality of video segments based on the locally stored characteristics when the remotely issued executable instructions are locally processed by a processor at the video receiver; locally processing the remotely issued executable instructions using the locally stored characteristics to cause the video receiver to select the particular video segment; causing the selected particular video segment to be displayed on the display device (col. 11, lines 30-65).

As to claim 2, Zigmond discloses:

processing the executable instructions to cause the video receiver to select the video segment comprises processing the executable instructions to cause the video receiver to select a video advertisement; causing the video segment to be displayed on the

Art Unit: 2612

display device comprises causing the video advertisement to be displayed on the display device (col. 11, lines 30-65).

As to claim 12, Zigmond discloses:

caching the plurality of video segments as they are received (data that is received at any point in time is effectively 'cached' (col. 11, lines 30-65).

As to claim 13, Zigmond discloses:

releasing the cache memory associate with a particular video segment if the video receiver determines that the particular video segment is not to be displayed (since memory has finite space, video segment that are not used are effectively removed at some point (col. 11, lines 30-65)).

As to claim 15, Zigmond discloses:

receiving a plurality of video segment from the video stream comprises: receiving the plurality of video segments from a plurality of video streams; and switching display between the plurality of video streams based on the executable instructions (fig. 4, el. 62, 66; col. 16, lines 30-43).

As to claim 16, Zigmond discloses:

the video stream is a unidirectional video stream (video is being sent downstream, and not upstream fig. 3, 4, 5, 7, 8).

As to claim 18, Zigmond discloses:

Art Unit: 2612

the locally stored characteristics include historical information about channels tuned to (col. 11, lines 13-30).

As to claim 21, Zigmond discloses:

locally stored information includes historical information about advertisements displayed (col. 9, lines 39-55).

As to claim 22, Zigmond discloses:

the historical information about advertisements displayed comprises an identifier identifying at least some of the advertisements previously displayed (col. 9, lines 20-39).

As to claim 23, Zigmond discloses:

the historical information about advertisements displayed comprises a time that the corresponding advertisement was last displayed (col. 13, lines 40-47).

As to claim 24, Zigmond discloses:

video receiver locally stores the characteristics without revealing the characteristics outside of the video receiver (met as discussed in claim 1).

As to claim 26, Zigmond discloses:

the computer-readable medium is one or more physical storage media (see claim 12).

## Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2612

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 6

2. Claim(s) 3-7 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zigmond (6698020) in view of Knudson et al. (20050216936).

As to claim 3,

Zigmond discloses:

causing the video segment to be displayed on the display device comprises causing the video segment to be displayed on the display device (col. 11, lines 30-65).

However, Zigmond does not specifically disclose displaying the video segment in a window. Knudson discloses causing the video segment to be displayed on the display device comprises causing the video segment to be displayed on the display device (fig. 15, [0081]; fig. 21, [0092]; fig. 22, [0095]).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Zigmond with Knudson to display the selected video segment in locations such as fig. 15, element 171 and fig. 22, element 258 so as to present more information and more options on a screen to a user [0004].

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/001,298

Art Unit: 2612

As to claim 4,

displaying material outside of the window (see claims 5-7).

As to claim 5,

displaying material outside of the window comprises displaying television programming outside of the window (Knudson et al: program listings are effectively 'television programming' fig. 22, region 262 [0095]).

As to claim 6,

displaying material outside of the window comprises displaying network resources outside of the window (applicant defines network as Web pages 'network resources such as Web pages.' Knudson discloses users ordering information, products, or services through the Internet [0049]).

As to claim 7,

displaying material outside of the window comprises displaying Web content outside of the window (see claim 6).

3. Claim(s) 8-11 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zigmond (6698020) in view of Ching et al. (20010003184).

As to claim 8,

Zigmond does not specifically disclose causing a still picture to be displayed on the display device when the video segment is not being displayed on the display device.

Art Unit: 2612

Ching discloses causing a still picture to be displayed on the display device when the video segment is not being displayed on the display device [0128]. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Zigmond with Ching so as to allow the user to view an advertisement during wait time of a video stream [0128].

As to claim 9, Ching discloses:

receiving the still picture from the stream [0128].

As to claim 10, Ching discloses:

causing a still picture to be displayed on the display device in the window when the video segment is not being displayed on the display device comprises causing a banner advertisement to be displayed on the display device in the window when the video segment is not being displayed on the display device [0128].

As to claim 11,

Although the Zigmond in view of Ching does not specifically disclose the executable instructions are first executable instructions, the method further comprising: receiving second executable instructions from the video stream, the second executable instructions configured to cause the video receiver to select the still picture from among a plurality of still pictures based on the locally stored characteristics when the second executable instructions are processed by a processor; processing the second executable instructions to cause the video receiver to select the still picture, the

Art Unit: 2612

examiner gives Official Notice that it is notoriously well known in the art to utilize targeted still pictures based on user characteristics. Accordingly, it would have been clearly obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Zigmond in view of Ching to use targeted still based pictures based on user characteristics, so that the still pictures are more effective, useful and desirable for both the user and marketing entity. These concepts are well known in the art and do not constitute a patentably distinct limitation, per se [M.P.E.P. 2144.03].

4. Claim(s) 14 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zigmond (6698020) in view of Flickinger et al. (20050210502).

As to claim 14,

Zigmond does not specifically disclose causing the video segment to be displayed on the display device comprises: causing the video segment to be displayed as the video segment is being received from the video segment, wherein the executable instructions contain a trigger that coordinates the start of display of the video segment with the time that the video segment is received by the video receiver. However, Flickinger discloses causing the video segment to be displayed on the display device comprises: causing the video segment to be displayed as the video segment is being received from the video segment, wherein the executable instructions contain a trigger that coordinates the start of display of the video segment with the time that the video segment is received by the video receiver (Streaming media; there exists instructions which trigger or cue the 'start of display' of the streaming media. Since the media segments can not

Application/Control Number: 10/001,298 Page 10

Art Unit: 2612

be displayed before they are received, the 'start of display' is effectively 'coordinated' to display after receiving the segment [0062]; [0075]). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Zigmond with Flickinger so as to allow the viewer to view the video before it is fully downloaded, as an advantage to systems with low or medium width channels [0062].

5. Claim(s) 17 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zigmond (6698020) in view of Thomas et al. (20050251824).

As to claim 17,

Zigmond does not specifically disclose the locally stored characteristics includes channel subscription information.

Thomas discloses the locally stored characteristics includes channel subscription information (since 'each user may set up a profile with a different set of favorite channels,' favorite channels, to which the user is subscribed to is effectively stored as well [0072]).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Zigmond with Thomas so as to provide an additional criteria to facilitate the delivery of targeted content ([0069]).

6. Claim(s) 19-20 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zigmond (6698020) in view of Ohkura et al. (6347400).

As to claim 19,

Art Unit: 2612

Zigmond does not specifically disclose the locally stored information includes historical information about pay per view purchases.

Ohkura discloses the locally stored information includes historical information about pay per view purchases ([11, 60] to [12, 5]; [14, 66] to [15,2]).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Zigmond with Ohkura so as to provide an additional criteria to facilitate the delivery of targeted content.

As to claim 20,

Ohkura discloses the historical information about pay per view purchases includes the identification of the last pay per view purchase ([11, 60] to [12, 5]; [14, 66] to [15,2]).

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/001,298 Page 12

Art Unit: 2612

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shirley Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-8546. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Grant can be reached on (571) 272-7294. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SC

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600